



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|--|---------------|----------------------|-------------------------|-----------------|
| 09/845,589 | 04/30/2001 | Dale F. McIntyre | 82466RLO | 2441 |
| 75 | 90 10/15/2003 | | EXAM | INER |
| Thomas H. Close | | | CARTER, MONICA SMITH | |
| Patent Legal Staff | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| Eastman Kodak Company 343 State.Street | | | 3722 | |
| Rochester, NY 14650-2201 | | | DATE MAILED: 10/15/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| . 4 | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/845,589 | MCINTYRE ET AL. | | | | |
| Advisory Addion | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Monica S. Carter | 3722 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 26 September 2003 FAILS TO PLA Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (*condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Apperexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | void abandonment of this applic I) a timely filed amendment whi | cation. A proper reply to a ch places the application in | | | | |
| PERIOD FOR RE | PLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of | · | | | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dahave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three models. | an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in | f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 136(a) and the appropriate extension fee fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in | | | | |
| earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant' 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF | | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b | ecause: | | | | | |
| (a) they raise new issues that would require furth | er consideration and/or search (| (see NOTE below); | | | | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or | in better form for appeal by mat | erially reducing or simplifying the | | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without cance | ling a corresponding number of | finally rejected claims. | | | | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. | | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection | ction(s): | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | l be allowable if submitted in a s | separate, timely filed amendment | | | | |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: | | sidered but does NOT place the | | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | cause it is not directed SOLELY | to issues which were newly | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendmen explanation of how the new or amended claims w | | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | · | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: None. | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> . | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 3-6, 8, 10-15, 17, 18 and 20-25</u> | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | |
| 8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is | a)□ approved or b)□ disap | proved by the Examiner. | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme | ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). | | | | | |
| 10. Other: | | - | | | | |
| | | MONICA S. CARTER PRIMARY EXAMINER | | | | |
| | | | | | | |

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303) 09/845,589



Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: Applicant's amendment includes limitations not previously claimed (i.e., "distinct from the page" and "forming a composite image on the page") and, therefore, require further search and/or consideration.